

STEPHANIE M. HINDS (CABN 154284)
United States Attorney

THOMAS A. COLTHURST (CABN 99493)
Chief, Criminal Division

SAMANTHA BENNETT (NYBN 5132063)
Assistant United States Attorney

1301 Clay Street, Suite 340S
Oakland, California 94612
Telephone: (510) 637-3680
FAX: (510) 637-3724
samantha.bennett@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	Case No. 4:21-mj-71970 MAG
Plaintiff,)	
v.)	STIPULATION AND
)	PROTECTIVE ORDER
TARIK LAMONT JOHNSON,)	
Defendant.)	

Plaintiff United States of America, by and through STEPHANIE M. HINDS, United States Attorney for the Northern District of California, and SAMANTHA BENNETT, Assistant United States Attorney for the Northern District of California, and the defendant, Tarik Lamont Johnson, through his undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

a) This is a case in which the defendant is charged with or being investigated for crimes involving victims and/or witnesses who are minors (the Child Victims). As such, the alleged Child Victims are entitled to certain statutory protections, including: (1) Title 18, United States Code, section 3509, which affords certain confidentiality protections to the Child Victims, including the requirement that the Child Victims' names not be included in any public filings and that the parties keep the names

STIPULATED PROTECTIVE ORDER
4:21-MJ-71970 MAG

1 of child victims in a secure place to which no person who does not have reason to know their contents
2 has access; (2) Title 18, United States Code, section 3771, which affords any victim of a crime the right
3 to be treated with fairness and respect for his or her dignity and privacy; and (3) Title 18, United States
4 Code, section 3509(m), which provides that a court shall deny defense requests to copy, photograph,
5 duplicate, or otherwise reproduce material constituting child pornography if the government makes the
6 material reasonably available to the defendant and provides ample opportunity for the defense to
7 examine it at a government facility.

8 b) Some of the discovery materials the government intends to produce in this case may
9 include materials falling into one or more of the following categories which, because of the way they are
10 stored and/or because of the content of the materials, cannot practicably be redacted to sufficiently
11 protect the information from public disclosure (collectively, "Protected Information"):

- 12 1. Personal Identifying Information of any individual, including without limitation any person's
13 date of birth, social security number, residence or business address, telephone numbers, email
14 addresses, driver's license number, professional license number, family members names, or
15 criminal histories ("Personal Identifying Information");
- 16 2. The names and other personal information of alleged Child Victims, as well as non-
17 pornographic images ("Child Information");
- 18 3. Financial information of any individual or business, including without limitation bank
19 account numbers, credit or debit card numbers, account passwords, contact information, and
20 taxpayer identification numbers ("Financial Information"); and
- 21 4. Documents or other materials which contain the usernames or other information of other
22 social media users ("Other User Information"). Government counsel asserts that the social
23 media users are subject to ongoing criminal investigations in other districts and that public
24 disclosure of their names could result in the destruction of evidence or could cause the
25 individuals to flee from prosecution.

26 c) The government seized or otherwise obtained certain electronic devices from the
27 defendant ("Defendant's Electronic Devices") and records from the defendant's online accounts
28 ("Defendant's Accounts") that may contain private and confidential information relating to Child

1 Victims and other individuals, including potentially personally identifiable information such as account
2 names and numbers, social security numbers, and dates of birth. Due to the nature in which the
3 information is stored, it is possible that some information cannot practicably be redacted.

4 THEREFORE, THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE that the Court should enter an order
5 restricting the disclosure of the Protected Information described above as follows:

6 1. All Protected Information produced by the government in this matter that is not
7 maintained on Defendant's Electronic Devices or in Defendant's Online Accounts, even if redacted,
8 shall be stamped on its face "PROTECTED INFORMATION – SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE
9 ORDER." All information stored on Defendant's Electronic Devices and in Defendant's Online
10 Accounts will also be deemed Protected Information and subject to the terms of this Protective Order.

11 2. The following individuals (the "Defense Team") may access and review Protected
12 Information for the sole purpose of preparing the defense and for no other purpose:

13 a) Counsel for defendant who have made an appearance in this matter; and

14 b) Persons employed by defense counsel to assist with the preparation of the
15 defense, including legal assistants, experts, and investigators.

16 3. The Defense Team may review with the defendant all discovery material produced by the
17 government, but shall not provide the defendant with copies of, or permit the defendant to make copies
18 of or have unsupervised access to, any discovery material produced by the government that contains
19 Protected Information, unless the Personal Identifying Information, Child Information, Financial
20 Information, and/or Other User Information has first been entirely redacted from the discovery
21 materials. Discovery material that clearly pertains to the defendant and does not contain Protected
22 Information regarding any other person (*e.g.*, a defendant's own bank records, telephone records, and
23 business records) may be provided to the defendant unredacted.

24 4. The Defense Team shall not disclose the substance of any Protected Information received
25 from the government in the above-captioned matter to any third party without the express written
26 permission of the government or the approval of this Court.

27 5. The Defense Team shall refer to any alleged Child Victim only by "Minor Victim #X",
28 "John Doe #X" or in a similar way which does not publicly identify the alleged Child Victim, in all

1 pleadings and at any court hearings.

2 6. If the Defense Team files a pleading that references, contains, or attaches Protected
3 Information subject to this Protective Order, any portion of that pleading that references, contains, or
4 attaches Protected Information must be filed under seal. The Defense Team shall comply with Criminal
5 Local Rule 56-1 to ensure that Protected Information is not improperly disclosed but that any sealing
6 order is limited to those portions of the pleading for which there is a valid basis to seal.

7 7. All review and examination of Defendant's Electronic Devices and Defendant's Online
8 Accounts, as well as any child sexual abuse material recovered during this investigation, will be
9 conducted at a federal government facility designated by the U.S. Attorney's Office. At the request of
10 the Defense Team, the examination may be conducted outside the presence of an agent or other
11 government representative.

12 8. The Defense Team shall not make, nor permit to be made, any copies of the alleged child
13 sexual abuse material contained in the Defendant's Electronic Devices and/or Online Accounts and shall
14 not remove from the government facility any child sexual abuse material or child erotica. The Defense
15 Team will be provided with a copy of electronic information that is not contraband, and/or a report
16 without contraband images or videos documenting the examination of an electronic device and/or the
17 Online Accounts.

18 9. After any judgment or disposition has become final and there are no pending
19 proceedings, challenges, appeals, or habeas motions in the case, and after the deadline for any 28 U.S.C.
20 § 2255 motion has expired, upon request by government counsel, the Defense Team shall destroy all
21 documents and electronic media subject to this Protective Order, delete any electronic copies of such
22 documents or electronic media, or return such documents or electronic media to the government.
23 Should the Defense Team seek to retain copies of any documents or electronic media that would
24 otherwise be subject to this Protective Order, the Defense Team may seek from government counsel
25 copies of such documents or electronic media with all Protected Information appropriately redacted, or
26 may seek any other appropriate relief from the Court.

27 10. This Protective Order applies to all attorneys associated with the above case who have
28 knowledge of this Protective Order, regardless of the nature of their involvement in the case. This

Order shall be binding on all subsequent attorneys who represent the defendant in this case or any other person who comes into possession of the documents disclosed to the Defense Team under this Protective Order.

11. Any disputes about this Protective Order, including any dispute about the government's decision to designate materials as Protected Information, shall be resolved by this Court only after counsel for the United States and the defendant have first conferred and attempted to resolve the dispute. Defense counsel shall first raise any disputed designation with the government in writing. If the government does not then agree to change the designation, the defense may raise the issue with the Court.

12. This stipulation is without prejudice to any party applying to the Court to modify the terms of this Protective Order. This Court shall retain jurisdiction to modify this Protective Order upon motion of any party even after the conclusion of district court proceedings in this case.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

STEPHANIE M. HINDS
United States Attorney

Dated: January 11, 2022

/s/
SAMANTHA BENNETT
Assistant United States Attorney

/s/
ANGELA HANSEN
Counsel for Defendant Tarik Lamont Johnson

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: January 12, 2022

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
IT IS SO ORDERED
Donna
Judge Donna M. Ryu
HON. DONNA M. RYU
United States District Judge